

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,861	03/22/2004	Weiming Lu	CACIP.00004	2472
22858 7	590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON, LLP			CHIN, RANDALL E	
P O BOX 8023 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
31122110, 111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1744	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			#L/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,861	LU, WEIMING	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Randall Chin	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS CON of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SII will, by statute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. If, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this occorded ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on		
	a on 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	•		merits is
closed in accordance with the practi	•	·	
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the ap	·		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from considerat	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirem	ent.	
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	• • • •	• / / /	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to			
	ay are and million from the d		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		•.	
1. Certified copies of the priority			
2. Certified copies of the priority		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
·		e been received in this National	Stage
application from the Internatio	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copi	es not received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		per No(s)/Mail Date	1.450)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04262004.		otice of Informal Patent Application (PTC her:) - 152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 09032005

Application/Control Number: 10/806,861

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because it appears the lead line for reference numeral 7 in Fig. 2 designating the tee-joint is incorrectly drawn to the element.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not

Art Unit: 1744

clear, concise and grammatically incorrect. Proper idiomatic English should be used.

The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

All such objections are too numerous to mention specifically. Applicant's cooperation is respectfully requested in reviewing and clarifying the entire specification, claims and drawings for compliance.

Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are:

On p. 1, lines 4-5, the recitation "which have cylinder body and may roll" is awkwardly written.

On p. 1, lines 8-16, the entire paragraph is a run-on sentence, verbose and confusing.

On p. 2, line 5, "the clipping force is strong enough" is awkwardly written.

On p. 2, again, lines 6-18 set forth a run-on paragraph which is verbose and confusing.

On p. 4, line 2, "for holding paint roller" is awkwardly written.

On p. 5, line 7, "(not be shown)" is an awkward phrase.

On p. 5, lines 20-21, "which has male screw" is grammatically improper.

On p. 6, line 6, "operator may fit tightly" is awkwardly written.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 10/806,861

Art Unit: 1744

Applicant should ensure that consistent terminology is used throughout the specification for a clear and concise understanding of the invention. For example, on p. 5, consistent terminology should be used with respect to "a pair of square arms 2 and 4" (lines 3, 4 and 22-23 and p. 6, line 9), "pair of joint parts 2 and 4" (lines 9 and 24), and "square tube 2 and 4" (line 13). Also, there are numerous recitations of the phrase "joint part" throughout and consistent terms should also be used here (e.g., p. 5, lines 5, 8 and 22).

On p. 5, "pair of racks 8" is set forth (lines 7, 10, 11 and 17). However, on p. 6, lines 4-5, "racks 8 and 12" is recited. Clarification is requested.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The claims are replete with indefinite and functional or operational language.

The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Appropriate correction is required. Only a few examples will be given. Applicant's cooperation is respectfully requested in reviewing and clarifying the entire specification, claims and drawings for compliance.

Claim 1, line 1, after "holding", insert –a--.

Claim 1, line 2, "which have uniform bending shape" is awkwardly written.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,861

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 1 recites "a pair of square arms" (line 2) as well as "joint part" (lines 3, 4, 7, etc.). The specification recites these terms also with the same reference numerals 2 and 4. Again, consistent terminology is requested for clarity. In other words, if the "joint parts" are a different element, they have not been labeled with any distinct reference numeral.

Claim 1, line 6, "hole(s)" should just read -holes--.

Claim 1, line 7, delete "and".

Claim 1, line 8, "wherein the square tube holds to" is awkwardly written. The same objection holds for line 12.

Claim 1, line 14, "a bar" should be positively recited.

Claim 3, line 3, "which has male screw" is awkwardly written.

Claim 4, lines 1-3, "which has female screw" is awkwardly written.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Lynden, Evensen, and Nolte are relevant to various paint roller handle and/or frame designs.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1270. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,861 Page 6

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, John Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-1142. The number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

The central fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Chin

Randall Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744